

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/056,865 | 01/25/2002 | Susumu Yoshida | 0941.66127 | 3769 |
| 75 | 90 08/22/2003 | | | |
| Patrick G. Burns, Esq. | | | EXAMINER | |
| GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606 | | | LAIR, DO | NALD M |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2858 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ; | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/056,865 | YOSHIDA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Donald M. Lair | 2858 | · · | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence ad | Idress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N ute, cause the application to become | r a reply be timely filed thirly (30) days will be considered timel IONTHS from the mailing date of this ce BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | | |
| Status 1) Posnonsive to communication(s) filed on 2: | 1. luly 2003 | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2² 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 2b | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo | • | natters prosecution as to th | ne merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | io mono io | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicati | on. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | . * | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | | tiested te buitha Evaminar | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | ata haya haan ragaiyad | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | n Application No | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | stic priority under 35 U.S | .C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona | al application). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/056,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2858

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 5 14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected subcombination, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.
 - 2. Claims 5 14 have been cancelled without prejudice

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ding et al. (US-2002/0041472).
- 5. In regards to Claim 1, Ding et al. discloses a resonance-frequency measuring method for measuring a resonance frequency of an information recording/reproducing device reproducing information recorded on a medium by driving a mechanism unit, the method comprising the measuring step of applying sine-wave oscillations at different frequencies one by one to the mechanism unit, and counting the number of times information reproduced upon application of each of the sine-wave oscillations differs from information indicating an aimed location (Paragraph 83; Fig. 15; Abstract), and the resonance-frequency determining step of determining

٠,

Application/Control Number: 10/056,865

Art Unit: 2858

the resonance frequency according to the number of times counted in the measuring step (Paragraph 86).

In regards to Claim 2, Ding et al. discloses a resonance frequency measuring method comprising the steps described above, wherein the measuring step applies the sine-wave oscillations to the mechanism unit by adding sine-wave signals at different frequencies one b one to a control signal controlling an actuator to drive the mechanism unit (Paragraph 14, lines 1-6; Paragraph 15; Paragraph 18).

Page 3

- In regards to Claims 3 and 4, Ding et al. discloses a resonance frequency measuring 7. method comprising the steps described above, wherein the resonance-frequency determining step judges a frequency of the sine-wave oscillation maximizing the number of times to be the resonance frequency (Paragraphs 83 and 86; Fig. 15; Abstract).
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 8. disclosure. Kadlec et al. (US-2002/0131342), Gong et al. (US-2003/0016462), Fulkerson et al. (US-2003/0133212) disclose inventions relation to this method of detecting resonance frequencies.

Art Unit: 2858

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald M. Lair whose telephone number is (703) 305-4450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, N. Le can be reached on (703) 308-0750. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1436.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2858

August 14, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800